

REMARKS

A supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is submitted herewith.

In the Office Action dated July 19, 2004, claim 3 and the disclosure were objected to; claims 1-13, 16-20, and 23-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over U.S. Patent No. 6,757,732 (Sollee). Applicant acknowledges the allowance of claim 30, and the indication that claims 14, 15, 21, and 22 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

CLAIM OBJECTION

It is respectfully submitted that the term "to perform a service" of claim 3 is clear. The claim expressly defines the service performed by the web browser as being separate from and in addition to call control and status and media-related tasks. Thus, any service that is separate from and in addition to call control and status and media-related tasks can be covered by the claim. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

SPECIFICATION OBJECTION

The paragraph starting on page 7, line 9, has been amended to address the objection.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

It is respectfully submitted that amended claim 1 is not disclosed by Sollee. Specifically, Sollee does not teach receiving, *in a user system*, a control message for a call session over a network, receiving one or more predetermined criteria entered by a user *through a user interface of the user system*, and loading a web page, *in the user system*, based on comparison of information in the control message with the one or more predetermined criteria.

The Office Action pointed to column 10, line 55-column 11, line 6, of Sollee as teaching the comparing act of claim 1. The cited passage refers to special processing performed at a firewall system in which an SIP message is checked against the current state of the call session. Sollee, 10:61-63. The firewall system tracks the progress of a particular call session to enable the firewall system to determine whether a received SIP message is consistent with the next state or expected message of the call session. Sollee, 10:63-66. The tasks performed by this firewall system are not the tasks recited in claim 1.

Thus, claim 1 is not anticipated by Sollee. Amended independent claim 25 and new independent claim 31 are also similarly allowable (however note that the comparing of information is of a *transmitted* control message or call request).

Amended independent claim 17 is also allowable over Sollee, as Sollee does not disclose a user system having a web browser, a user interface to receive a set of one or more user-defined rules, and a rules processing module to compare information in a received call request with the set of one or more user-defined rules, and to invoke the web browser based on comparing the information in the call request with the set of one or more user-defined rules.

Amended claim 29 is also similarly allowable.

Dependent claims, including newly added dependent claims 32-39, are allowable for at least the same reasons as corresponding independent claims.

Appln. Serial No. 09/578,627
Amendment Dated December 20, 2004
Reply to Office Action Mailed July 19, 2004

Allowance of all claims is therefore respectfully requested. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees and/or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1504 (NRT.0052US).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

12-20-04



Dan C. Hu
Registration No. 40,025
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100
Houston, TX 77024
Telephone: (713) 468-8880
Facsimile: (713) 468-8883